

Article

High-Resolution Satellite-Driven Estimation of Photosynthetic Carbon Sequestration in the Sundarbans Mangrove Forest, Bangladesh

Nur Hussain ¹, Md Adnan Rahman ² , Md Rezaul Karim ^{3,*} , Parvez Rana ⁴ , Md Nazrul Islam ⁵ and Anselme Muzirafuti ⁶ 

- ¹ Department of Biology, University of Toronto, 3359 Mississauga Road, Mississauga, ON L5L 1C6, Canada; nur.hussain@utoronto.ca
 - ² Department of Civil Engineering, Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology, Dhaka 1000, Bangladesh; 2104027@ce.buet.ac.bd
 - ³ Institute of Forestry and Conservation, John H. Daniels Faculty of Architecture, Landscape, and Design, University of Toronto, 33 Willcocks Street, Toronto, ON M5S 3B3, Canada
 - ⁴ Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), Latokartanonkaari 9, 00790 Helsinki, Finland; parvez.rana@luke.fi
 - ⁵ Department of Geography & Environment, Jahangirnagar University, Dhaka 1342, Bangladesh; nazrul_geo@juniv.edu
 - ⁶ Department of Mathematical and Computer Science, Physical Science and Earth Sciences, University of Messina, Via F. Stagno d'Alcontres, 98166 Messina, Italy; anselme.muzirafuti@unime.it
- * Correspondence: rezaul.karim@mail.utoronto.ca

Highlights

What are the main findings?

- Model integration maps mangrove carbon uptake at 10 m resolution.
- Modeled 10 m plant fluorescence strongly tracks coarse satellite data.

What are the implications of the main findings?

- Sundarbans absorb 15–25% of Bangladesh's national carbon emissions.
- Sharp 2022 decline in carbon uptake reveals ecosystem vulnerability and high-resolution data links canopy structure to photosynthetic function.

Abstract

Mangrove forests provide essential climate regulation and coastal protection, yet fine-scale quantification of carbon dynamics remains limited in the Sundarbans due to spatial heterogeneity and tidal influences. This study estimated canopy structural and photosynthetic dynamics from 2019 to 2023 by integrating 10 m spatial high-resolution remote sensing with a light use efficiency (LUE) modeling framework. Leaf Area Index (LAI) was retrieved at 10 m resolution using the PROSAIL radiative transfer model applied to Sentinel-2 data to characterize the canopy structure of the mangrove forest. LUE-based Gross Primary Productivity (GPP) was estimated using Sentinel-2 vegetation and water indices and MODIS fPAR with station observatory temperature data. Annual carbon uptake showed clear interannual variation, ranging from 1881 to 2862 g C m^{−2} yr^{−1} between 2019 and 2023. GPP estimates were strongly correlated with MODIS-GPP ($R^2 = 0.86$, $p < 0.001$), demonstrating the method's reliability for monitoring mangrove carbon sequestration. LUE-based Solar-induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence (SIF) was derived at 10 m resolution and compared with TROPOMI-SIF observations to assess correspondence ($R^2 = 0.88$, $p < 0.001$) with photosynthetic activity. LAI, GPP and SIF exhibited pronounced seasonal and interannual variability on photosynthetic activity, with higher values during the monsoon growing season and lower values during dry periods. Mean NDVI declined from 2019 to 2023 and



Academic Editor: Yihang Zhang

Received: 26 December 2025

Revised: 14 January 2026

Accepted: 23 January 2026

Published: 25 January 2026

Copyright: © 2026 by the authors.

Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland.

This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the [Creative Commons Attribution \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) license.

modeled annual carbon uptake ranged from approximately 43 to 65 Mt CO₂ eq, with lower sequestration in 2022–2023 associated with climatic stress. Strong correlations among LAI, NDVI, GPP, and SIF indicated consistent coupling between photosynthetic activity and carbon uptake in the mangrove ecosystem. These results provide a fine-scale assessment of mangrove carbon dynamics relevant to conservation and climate-mitigation planning in tropical regions.

Keywords: mangrove forest; ecosystem; chlorophyll fluorescence; carbon sequestration; gross primary production

1. Introduction

Mangrove forests are significant ecosystems in global climate change mitigation, possessing substantial potential for carbon sequestration and the provision of diverse ecosystem services [1–3]. These tropical coastal forests function as critical carbon sinks by assimilating atmospheric carbon dioxide (CO₂) through photosynthesis and storing it in aboveground biomass and carbon-rich soils, thereby facilitating long-term carbon sequestration. Sundarbans, the world’s largest mangrove forest, constitutes a major carbon reservoir for Bangladesh and understanding the processes that control carbon sequestration in these forests is crucial for assessing their role under changing climatic conditions [4–6]. Remote sensing enables large-scale assessment of carbon sequestration, while a fundamental challenge persists in bridging canopy structure to ecosystem-level photosynthetic activity, particularly across the complex water–land interface of coastal mangrove ecosystems [7,8].

Vegetation conditions in mangrove forests serve as a primary indicator of their carbon sequestration capacity [9]. Reflectance-based Vegetation Indices (VIs), such as the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI), and biophysical parameters, such as the Leaf Area Index (LAI), provide essential information on forest growth and productivity [10,11]. While NDVI indicates vegetation greenness, LAI offers an integrated measure of forest structure and canopy density [12,13]. These structural canopy metrics provide only partial insights into ecosystem carbon assimilation, as the linkage between canopy architecture and actual photosynthetic uptake is often weakened or modulated by external environmental factors such as water stress, nutrient limitation, and temperature extremes [14]. This decoupling means that structural characteristics alone cannot reliably capture physiological function under variable conditions [15]. Moreover, the efficacy of these metrics is sensitive to the spatial resolution of the data and to seasonal changes in reflectance and atmospheric variability, which can introduce significant error or bias into remote sensing-based productivity assessments [14,16]. This research uses the PROSAIL radiative transfer model to simulate LAI from very-high-spatial-resolution (10 m) Sentinel-2 imagery. Coarse-resolution products, such as the 500 m LAI from MODIS, can obscure fine-scale heterogeneity within mangrove stands, leading to less precise VIs estimates due to confounding effects from the land–water interface [17,18]. By calculating LAI at a 10 m resolution, this research aims to produce a more precise estimate of mangrove vegetation structure as a foundational step for functional analysis.

Ecosystem-level carbon sequestration is mechanistically driven by the Light Use Efficiency (LUE) model-based Gross Primary Productivity (GPP), the total rate of photosynthetic carbon fixation by the canopy [1,19]. Although direct GPP measurement is possible using the Eddy Covariance (EC) technique, the high cost, logistical constraints, and sparse distribution of flux towers make this approach unfeasible for continuous monitoring in inaccessible mangrove regions [20,21]. Consequently, satellite remote sensing provides a more

viable solution for estimating GPP over large spatial and temporal scales [21,22]. However, widely used GPP products, often derived from MODIS satellite observations at a 500 m resolution, are inadequate for resolving the structural heterogeneity inherent to mangrove forests, introducing significant uncertainty into carbon dynamics monitoring [23–26]. This scale variance signifies the need for high-resolution GPP estimates to accurately capture carbon fluxes in these complex ecosystems, particularly in regions vulnerable to natural disasters, where traditional monitoring is unviable [12].

The relation between vegetation indices and GPP is complicated by the dynamic regulation of photosynthesis [20,27,28]. Photosynthetic activity in mangroves is governed by environmental factors such as light availability, temperature, and hydro-pedological characteristics [27,28]. Under optimal light conditions, a fraction of absorbed photonic energy is not used for photochemistry but is instead dissipated as heat through non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) [29–31]. This photoprotective mechanism prevents damage to the photosystems, ensuring their functional integrity under variable irradiance, but it also decouples the quantity of absorbed light from the rate of carbon fixation [32,33]. This physiological reality highlights the limitations of structural indices and necessitates a more direct probe of photosynthetic function to accurately assess carbon dynamics.

Remote sensing of Solar-Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence (SIF) provides such a direct proxy for photosynthetic activity [34]. When chlorophyll absorbs photons, a small portion of the energy is re-emitted as fluorescence in the red (~680 nm) and far-red (~740 nm) wavelengths [34,35]. SIF is emitted directly from the photosynthetic machinery; its intensity is mechanistically linked to the gross photosynthetic rate and serves as a robust indicator of ecosystem-level carbon uptake [36]. Satellite sensors such as GOME-2, TROPOMI, and OCO-2 can detect SIF globally [37–40]. However, their coarse spatial resolution (ranging from 7 to 80 km) is unable to resolve individual mangrove stands and is heavily contaminated by signals from adjacent water bodies, rendering these products unsuitable for this application [34,40]. To overcome this fundamental scale mismatch, this study employs LUE-based models to calculate SIF at a high spatial resolution of 10 m using Sentinel-2 data, representing a significant methodological advance for functionally monitoring these mangrove ecosystems [2,40].

The main novelty of this study is the development of a framework to calculate high-resolution (10 m) carbon sequestration and chlorophyll fluorescence responses in the Sundarbans mangrove ecosystem using a synergistic combination of the PROSAIL and LUE models with Sentinel-2, MODIS, and TROPOMI satellite data. The specific objectives are to: (i) estimate mangrove forest growth and canopy structural characteristics through vegetation indices (NDVI) and calculate high-resolution (10 m) LAI from Sentinel-2 using the PROSAIL model; (ii) quantify carbon sequestration through LUE-based GPP calculations at 10 m resolution; and (iii) explore photosynthetic activity by simulating high-resolution SIF. By generating high-resolution SIF and GPP data, this work provides a detailed view of canopy-level photosynthetic function at a scale relevant to the inherent heterogeneity of the mangrove ecosystem. This study will enhance the understanding of carbon dynamics in the Sundarbans and contribute to developing more effective conservation strategies [41–43]. Ultimately, this research offers a comprehensive and scalable approach for monitoring and managing the role of mangrove ecosystems in climate change mitigation, with applicability to both the Sundarbans and similar tropical mangrove regions globally.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

The present study was conducted in the Bangladesh portion of the Sundarbans, the largest contiguous mangrove forest in the world, situated within the active Ganges

delta [43]. The forest extends between $21^{\circ}30'–22^{\circ}30'N$ and $88^{\circ}19'–89^{\circ}3'E$, covering approximately 6200 km^2 in the lower deltaic plain (Figure 1). This region is characterized by a dynamic coastal setting that is highly exposed to tropical cyclones, tidal surges, and associated disturbances [44–46]. The mangrove landscape has formed over extensive mudflats and deltaic sediments deposited by the Ganges–Brahmaputra–Meghna River system, with sediment inputs mainly derived from the Himalayan piedmont [47]. Tidal amplitudes vary seasonally between 0 and 3 m, shaping hydrological and salinity regimes across the forest [48]. The climate is tropical monsoonal, with winter temperatures ranging from 12 to $24^{\circ}C$ and summer temperatures between 25 and $35^{\circ}C$. Annual rainfall averages 1500–2000 mm, concentrated during the southwest monsoon (May–October), while the dry season extends from November to April. The Sundarbans also support remarkable biological diversity, including about 334 plant species, of which nearly 50 are classified as true mangroves [49]. Given its ecological significance and exposure to recurrent extreme events, the Sundarbans provide a critical setting for examining the resilience of mangrove ecosystems and their capacity to sequester carbon under environmental stress. This study therefore emphasizes the assessment of vegetation dynamics and photosynthetic carbon uptake in relation to cyclone-induced disturbances and broader climatic variability.




Figure 1. Location of the study area: (a) map of Bangladesh showing the position of the Sundarbans in the southwest region, and (b) detailed map of the Sundarbans Mangrove Forest.

2.2. Experimental Design and Data Sources

This study evaluates ecosystem productivity and carbon sequestration in the Sundarbans mangrove forest from 2019 to 2023. This period was selected to ensure complete and overlapping data availability, including consistent in situ measurements and satellite observations from Sentinel-2, MODIS, and TROPOMI. Sentinel-2 imagery was used to derive the NDVI and the Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI), providing indicators of vegetation condition and water availability. MODIS products, including the fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation (fPAR) and land surface temperature (LST), were used to quantify vegetation energy capture and characterize thermal dynamics within the study area (Table 1). Complementary temperature data were obtained from the Mongla weather station, located adjacent to the Sundarbans.

MODIS-based estimates of GPP and LAI were used for validation against model-simulated GPP and LAI, while TROPOMI-derived SIF was compared with simulated SIF to assess photosynthetic activity. Cloud contamination, particularly during the monsoon

season, was mitigated using a gap-filling and time-series interpolation approach based on nearest-neighbor and auxiliary data sources (Figure 2). Sentinel-2 data were accessed through the Google Earth Engine Data Catalog platform (<https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/datasets/catalog/sentinel-2>; accessed 15 December 2024). TROPOMI-SIF products were retrieved from the dedicated data portal (<https://s5p-troposif.noveltis.fr/>; accessed 15 December 2024), and MODIS data were obtained from the Google Earth Engine Data Catalog platform (<https://developers.google.com/earth-engine/datasets/catalog/modis>; accessed 15 December 2024). The overall experimental framework and methodological workflow are summarized in Figure 2. In experimental design (Figure 2), fPAR represents the fraction of photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) absorbed by chlorophyll, or as a linear function of the NDVI. LUE ($\epsilon_{\max}$) refers to the maximum light use efficiency, while T_{scalar} represents the temperature-related downward-parameter scalars that adjust LUE based on temperature (T). These scalars are derived from T , $T_{\min}$, $T_{\max}$, and T_{opt} , which correspond to the mean, minimum, maximum, and optimal temperatures for photosynthesis, respectively. W_{scalar} denotes the downward-parameter scalar accounting for the effects of water on LUE, calculated from the NDWI.




Figure 2. Workflow for estimating Leaf Area Index (LAI), Gross Primary Productivity (GPP), and Solar-Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence (SIF). Sentinel-2, MODIS, TROPOMI, and weather station data were processed in Google Earth Engine and ArcGIS (version: 3.2) to generate vegetation indices, remove clouds, and downscale resolution. LAI was retrieved using the PROSAIL radiative transfer model, GPP was estimated with a Light Use Efficiency (LUE) model, and SIF was derived through an LUE-based framework. Analyses conducted in Google Earth Engine, MATLAB (version: 24.2), and ArcGIS (version: 3.2) were validated against independent MODIS and TROPOMI datasets.

Table 1. Summary of data characteristics. The study includes data from weather stations obtained from the Bangladesh Meteorological Department (BMD), with interpolation applied for comparison with satellite data at spatial scales ranging from 10 m to 500 m.

Variable	Data Sources	Temporal Resolution	Spatial Resolution
Temperature (°C)	Weather Stations (BMD)	Monthly	10 m (Interpolated)
NDVI, NDWI	Sentinel-2	Monthly (Aggregated)	10 m
NDVI	MODIS (MOD13Q1); Version 6.1	16-Days	500 m
LAI (m ² /m ²)	MODIS (MOD15A2H); Version 6.1	16-Days	
GPP (g C m ⁻² d ⁻⁸)	MODIS (MOD17A2H); Version 6.1	8-Days	
fPAR (400–700 nm)	MODIS (MOD15A2H); Version 6.1	16-Days	
LULC	MODIS (MCD12Q1); Version 6.1	Yearly	1 km
LST (°C)	MODIS (MOD11A1); Version 6.1	Daily	
SIF (mW·m ⁻² ·nm ⁻¹ ·sr ⁻¹)	TROPOM	Monthly	
			7 km × 3.5 km

2.3. Estimate of Leaf Area Index (LAI)

LAI is a fundamental biophysical variable that characterizes canopy structure and reflects ecosystem-level carbon uptake capacity by influencing light interception, photosynthesis, and transpiration, thereby serving as an important indicator of GPP and carbon sequestration [12,50–52]. To obtain spatially explicit and physically consistent LAI estimates, Sentinel-2 multispectral imagery was processed using the PROSAIL radiative transfer modeling framework, which directly links canopy biophysical properties with satellite-observed reflectance [53]. The 10 m spatial resolution and vegetation-sensitive spectral bands of Sentinel-2 enable detailed characterization of canopy structural variability [52]. To ensure spectral consistency and reduce sensor-related uncertainties, Sentinel-2 spectral response functions were incorporated into PROSAIL simulations, thereby improving the robustness of LAI retrievals across heterogeneous landscapes [54].

The LAI was estimated from Sentinel-2 imagery using the PROSAIL radiative transfer model, which combines the PROSPECT leaf optical model [12] with the Scattering by Arbitrarily Inclined Leaves (SAIL) canopy model [55]. The Prospect component simulates leaf reflectance and transmittance across the 400–2500 nm spectral range, parameterized by leaf biochemical and structural traits that define optical behavior [13]. These leaf-level properties are then incorporated into the SAIL model, which computes canopy-scale reflectance by integrating leaf optical parameters with canopy architecture and illumination geometry [14]. Together, the PROSAIL framework enables the translation of satellite-observed surface reflectance into biophysically meaningful canopy variables. A detailed summary of the input parameters used for leaf optical properties and canopy reflectance in the PROSAIL model is provided in Table 2 [12,53,54].

Table 2. Input parameters of the PROSAIL model used in this study, including parameter definitions, units, ranges, and fixed values applied for leaf optical and canopy structural simulations.

Model	Input Parameters	Symbol	Unit	Range	Fixed Value
PROSPECT	Leaf structure	N	dimensionless	1.5–3.0	1.5
	Chlorophyll content	Cab	µg.cm ⁻²	10–80	40
	Carotenoid content	Car	µg.cm ⁻²	–	10
	Brown pigment	Cbrown	arbitrary units	–	0

Table 2. Cont.

Model	Input Parameters	Symbol	Unit	Range	Fixed Value
PROSPECT	Equivalent water thickness	Cw	cm	–	0.01
	Dry matter content	Cm	g.cm ^{−2}	–	0.009
	Leaf inclination distribution function	LIDF	shape	spherical	spherical
SAIL	Leaf inclination distribution function	LIDFa	slope	−1 to 1	−0.35
		LIDFb	Kind of distortion	−1 to 1	−0.15
		LIDFb	Kind of distortion	−1 to 1	−0.15
	Leaf Area Index	LAI	m ² /m ²	0–8	
	Hot spot parameter	hspot	m/m	0.03–0.1	0.01
	Solar zenith angle	tts	(°)	20–70	30
	View zenith angle	tto	(°)	0–30	10
	Relative azimuth angle	psi	(°)		0

2.4. Light Use Efficiency (LUE) Approach for Estimating Gross Primary Productivity (GPP)

Accurately estimating GPP in mangrove ecosystems requires high-resolution spatial data due to the complex interface between land and tidal waters [37,56]. Although MODIS provides commonly used GPP products at 500 m resolution, this coarse scale often overlooks fine-scale variations in canopy structure and tidal inundation, which can result in significant errors in assessing mangrove carbon fluxes [13]. In contrast, Sentinel-2 imagery, with its 10 m spatial resolution, enables detailed mapping of canopy characteristics and fPAR variability, making it well suited for applying a LUE-based GPP approach in such highly heterogeneous and dynamic environments [14,24,28,57].

GPP was estimated using a Sentinel-2-based LUE model to carbon sequestration in the Sundarbans mangrove ecosystem. The LUE framework has been widely applied and empirically validated for remote sensing-based GPP estimation [37,58]. In this study, temperature (T) was extracted from MODIS LST products, with missing values supplemented by observations from the Mongla weather station. Fraction of absorbed photosynthetically active radiation (fPAR) data were also obtained from MODIS, while a linear regression approach was applied to relate photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) with fPAR and NDVI for subsequent GPP calculation following the LUE framework [12]. The LUE model was implemented according to the following equations:

$$GPP = APAR_{chl} \times \varepsilon g \quad (1)$$

$$APAR_{chl} = PAR \times fPAR \quad (2)$$

$$LUE (\varepsilon_{max}) = \varepsilon_0 \times T_{Scalar} \times W_{Scalar} \quad (3)$$

$$T_{scalar} = \frac{(T - T_{max}) \times (T - T_{min})}{(T - T_{max}) \times (T - T_{min}) - (T - T_{opt})^2} \quad (4)$$

$$W_{scalar} = \frac{1 + NDWI}{1 + NDWI_{max}} \quad (5)$$

$$NDVI = (R_{NIR} - R_{Red}) / (R_{NIR} + R_{Red}) \quad (6)$$

$$NDWI = (R_{Green} - R_{SWIR}) / (R_{Green} + R_{SWIR}) \quad (7)$$

Here, GPP (g C m^{−2} d^{−1}) represents the total CO₂ assimilated by vegetation and provides a primary indicator of ecosystem productivity. Annual cumulative GPP (g C m^{−2} y^{−1}) was spatially integrated across the Sundarbans mangrove extent. To express GPP in terms

of CO₂ equivalents, values in g C m^{−2} were converted using the molecular weight ratio of CO₂ to C (44/12 ≈ 3.667). In this formulation, absorbed photosynthetically active radiation (APARchl) defines the energy input for photosynthesis, while its conversion efficiency into biomass is governed by light use efficiency (LUE, ϵ_g). The maximum theoretical LUE (ϵ_0) represents photosynthetic efficiency under optimal conditions, whereas environmental stressors reduce efficiency through scalar functions. The temperature scalar (T_{scalar}) accounts for the influence of minimum (T_{min}), optimum (T_{opt}), and maximum (T_{max}) temperatures on photosynthesis. The water scalar (W_{scalar}) reflects vegetation water status, estimated from the Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI), where NDWI_{max} corresponds to the maximum observed value. Reflectance in specific spectral bands—near-infrared (RNIR), red (RRed), green (RGreen), and shortwave infrared (RSWIR)—was used to derive NDVI and NDWI, which serve as indicators of canopy greenness and water availability, respectively.

2.5. Calculation of Light Use Efficiency (LUE)-Based Solar-Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence (SIF)

Recent satellite-based observations of SIF, particularly from the Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment-2 (GOME-2), the Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2 (OCO-2), and the Tropospheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI), have significantly advanced understanding of photosynthetic activity across diverse ecosystems [59–61]. Despite these advances, the relatively coarse spatial resolutions of these sensors, like, GOME-2 (3.5–14 km²), OCO-2 (1.3 × 2.25 km²), and TROPOMI (3.5 × 7 km²), limit their capacity to resolve fine-scale heterogeneity in canopy structure and environmental conditions [60,61]. To address these limitations, LUE-based modeling approaches have been applied to estimate canopy-level SIF by explicitly linking fluorescence emission with vegetation function and absorbed radiation [62–64]. In addition, complementary LUE-based datasets from the Sentinel-2, including GPP, NDVI and LAI, at approximately 10 m high-resolution, provide valuable support for monitoring vegetation conditions and environmental drivers at landscape scales [53].

SIF was calculated using MODIS and Sentinel-2 data at high spatial resolution by examining the relationships among NDVI, LAI, GPP, and SIF. These are the key variables that characterize vegetation productivity, carbon sequestration, and photosynthetic functioning in mangrove ecosystems. The fraction of fPAR quantifies the proportion of solar energy intercepted by the canopy and serves as an indicator of photosynthetic capacity. GPP represents the total carbon fixed by vegetation and is primarily determined by the amount of absorbed radiation. In parallel, SIF arises from the re-emission of a small fraction of absorbed energy during the photosynthetic process, providing a direct proxy of photosynthetic activity that is sensitive to both physiological status and structural conditions of the canopy [34,62].

The relationship between SIF and ecosystem productivity has been established through its strong association with fPAR, LUE, and GPP. In the present study, SIF simulation was further refined by incorporating environmental constraints, remarkably temperature variability and canopy structural parameters, to improve its predictive accuracy. A quadratic (parabolic) temperature response function centered at T_{op} was incorporated to model the temperature dependence of photosynthesis, assuming an optimum temperature for maximum activity. The comparative framework for SIF retrieval applied here follows the enhanced formulations proposed by Guanter et al. (2014) [62] as outlined below.

$$\text{SIF} \cong \text{fPAR} \times \text{NDVI} \times \epsilon_0 \times (1 - B \times (LST - T_{\text{opt}})^2) \times (F_{\text{esc}} + \text{GPP}) \quad (8)$$

$$F_{\text{esc}} = 0.5 \exp(-0.5 \cdot \text{LAI}) \quad (9)$$

where ε_0 is the maximum possible fluorescence emission, B is the temperature sensitivity coefficient, F_{esc} is the fraction of released fluorescence, LAI is Leaf Area Index, fraction of this radiation absorbed by vegetation (fPAR), GPP is Gross Primary Productivity, LST is the Land Surface Temperature, and T_{opt} is the optimal temperature for photosynthesis.

3. Results

3.1. Temporal and Spatial Dynamics of Canopy Properties

Analysis of vegetation indices within the Sundarbans Mangrove Forest from 2019 to 2023 revealed pronounced intra-annual seasonality superimposed upon a significant inter-annual trend in canopy condition (Figures 3 and 4). A consistent and notable decline in mean annual Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) was observed, decreasing from 0.506 in 2019 to 0.433 in 2023. This trajectory suggests a progressive reduction in vegetation vigor across the five-year study period.




Figure 3. Daily average NDVI and LAI (m^2/m^2). (a) Monthly average NDVI from 2019 to 2023, showing seasonal variations in vegetation greenness condition. (b) Monthly average LAI from 2019 to 2023, illustrating changes in forest canopy structure. Green circles represent simulated data, the blue line shows the monthly mean, and the red lines indicate the interquartile range (IQR, Q3–Q1), representing the middle 50% of the data.

In contrast, the annual mean Leaf Area Index (LAI), simulated via the PROSAIL model, exhibited greater inter-annual variability. The highest mean LAI occurred in 2021 ($2.59 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$), while the lowest was recorded in 2020 ($2.12 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$), with 2023 showing a partial recovery ($2.35 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$). Both indices demonstrated a strong, coherent seasonal cycle synchronized with regional climatic patterns (Figure 4).

Peak canopy development consistently occurred during the late monsoon season, with the highest monthly NDVI values recorded in August (0.619) and September (0.649) of 2019. Similarly, the maximum LAI was observed between July and September, reaching a five-year peak of $3.37 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$ in August. Conversely, the canopy reached its minimum extent and vigor during the cooler, drier post-monsoon period, with the lowest monthly NDVI (0.354) and LAI ($1.1 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$) values occurring in December. These seasonal trends reflect the vegetation's response to fluctuations in weather and hydrological resources.




Figure 4. Monthly mean LAI ($\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$) time series map. The left to right columns represents the months from January to December, and the rows (from top to bottom) represent the years 2019 to 2023. This figure displays the variation in LAI across the different months and years, providing insights into seasonal and interannual changes in the Sundarbans mangrove forest canopy structure.

The spatial distribution of LAI, derived from the PROSAIL model, visually corroborates these temporal dynamics across the landscape (Figure 4). The potent combination of these two structural metrics was confirmed by a strong, positive correlation between monthly mean NDVI and LAI ($R^2 = 0.93$, $p < 0.001$), indicating that the indices are con-

gruently capturing similar responses to forest canopy health. Collectively, these results illustrate that the structural properties of the Sundarbans canopy are governed by pronounced seasonal growth cycles. The observed variations reflect the ecosystem's response to fluctuating environmental drivers and provide a critical baseline for assessing the functional dynamics of carbon sequestration.

3.2. Estimation of Mangrove Carbon Uptake from Gross Primary Productivity (GPP)

The observed dynamics in canopy structure were directly reflected in the ecosystem's carbon fixation rates, with GPP exhibiting pronounced seasonal and inter-annual variability from 2019 to 2023. Periods of enhanced canopy development were associated with higher rates of carbon uptake, whereas declines in canopy density were associated with reduced productivity. These fluctuations emphasize the sensitivity of mangrove carbon assimilation to both phenological changes and environmental drivers such as temperature, precipitation, and tidal influence. By integrating satellite-derived GPP estimates with canopy structural metrics, the analysis provides a spatially explicit assessment of mangrove carbon uptake, offering insights into ecosystem resilience and the role of mangroves in long-term carbon sequestration (Figure 5).

A pronounced intra-annual periodicity characterized the GPP flux, with photosynthetic activity varying by more than threefold throughout the year. The maximum daily carbon uptake was recorded in September 2019 ($10.48 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$), whereas the minimum occurred in January 2023 ($3.1 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$). This seasonal pattern was consistent across all years, with elevated GPP during the primary growing season from May to September and suppressed rates during the cooler, drier months from November to February. For instance, peak productivity in 2019 was observed in August ($9.58 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$), a pattern repeated in 2023 ($9.23 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$), coinciding with maximum canopy development. Inter-annual carbon sequestration, assessed through cumulative annual GPP, showed considerable variability rather than a monotonic trend (Figure 6a).

The total annual carbon fixation was $2404 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$ in 2019, peaked in 2020 at $2862 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$, and reached its lowest point in 2022 at $1881 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$, before recovering to $2163 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$ in 2023. This variation in the total annual sink strength delineates the influence of prevailing climatic conditions on the ecosystem's overall carbon balance. The strong correspondence between seasonal GPP and canopy phenology underscores the primary control of climatic factors on photosynthetic activity in the Sundarbans mangrove ecosystem. The Sundarbans mangrove forest contributes considerably to carbon emission reduction in Bangladesh, though its rate of carbon sequestration showed significant annual changes from 2019 to 2023 (Table 3).

Table 3. Carbon Sequestration by the Sundarbans Mangrove Forest and Comparison with Bangladesh's total CO₂ Emissions (2019–2023) *.

Year	Total CO ₂ Emissions of Bangladesh (Mt CO ₂ eq)	Total Carbon Sequestration by Sundarbans (Mt CO ₂ eq)	Emissions Absorbed by Sundarbans
2019	213.19	54.65	25.63%
2020	269.03	65.06	24.18%
2021	276.8	57.61	20.81%
2022	278.49	42.76	15.35%
2023	281.38	49.17	17.47%

* Note: The total CO₂ emissions data for Bangladesh is sourced from the Ministry of Environment, Forest, and Climate Change, Bangladesh, for 2019 [65], and from the CO₂ and GHG Emission Reports by the European Commission for the years 2020 to 2023 [66].




Figure 5. Daily GPP ($\text{g C m}^{-2} \text{d}^{-1}$) averaged by month. Columns from left to right represent months from January to December, and rows from top to bottom represent years 2019 to 2023. The figure illustrates seasonal and interannual fluctuations in GPP, providing a detailed view of spatio-temporal changes in canopy structure, biomass, and vegetation cover in the Sundarbans mangrove forest.




Figure 6. The monthly time series of carbon sequestration and photosynthetic activity. (a) The monthly average GPP (g C m^{-2}) and (b) The monthly Solar Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence (SIF) ($\text{mW m}^{-2} \cdot \text{nm}^{-1} \cdot \text{sr}^{-1}$) from 2019 to 2023, illustrating the seasonal and interannual variations. Green circles represent simulated data, the blue line shows the monthly mean, and the red lines indicate the interquartile range (IQR, $Q3-Q1$), representing the middle 50% of the data.

In this study, carbon sequestration was estimated by converting the gross primary productivity (GPP) into total carbon uptake, scaled to the forest area, and expressed as CO_2 equivalents ($\text{Mt CO}_2 \text{ eq}$) using the molecular weight ratio of CO_2 to C (≈ 3.667). These values were then compared with Bangladesh's national CO_2 emissions. Annual carbon sequestration by the Sundarbans fluctuated, with values of $54.65 \text{ Mt CO}_2 \text{ eq}$ in 2019, $65.06 \text{ Mt CO}_2 \text{ eq}$ in 2020, $57.61 \text{ Mt CO}_2 \text{ eq}$ in 2021, $42.76 \text{ Mt CO}_2 \text{ eq}$ in 2022, and $49.17 \text{ Mt CO}_2 \text{ eq}$ in 2023. This sequestration offset a substantial portion of Bangladesh's total emissions, accounting for 25.63% in 2019, 24.18% in 2020, 20.81% in 2021, 15.35% in 2022, and 17.47% in 2023. The data show that the Sundarbans act as a strong carbon sink, but the sharp decline in 2022 and 2023 suggests that environmental stress and climate-induced extreme events may have reduced the forest's ability to capture carbon. Nevertheless, the carbon sink potential of the Sundarbans remains significant for climate change mitigation in Bangladesh. Further, a strong positive relationship was found between GPP and indicators of vegetation health. Specifically, GPP showed a strong positive correlation with both LAI ($R^2 = 0.94$) and NDVI ($R^2 = 0.95$). This statistically significant relationship ($p < 0.001$) indicates that increased vegetation cover, as reflected by higher LAI and NDVI values, has a direct effect on greater carbon capture. The considerable correlations emphasize the critical role played by vegetation cover in modulating carbon uptake. The results therefore confirm that environmental conditions, in conjunction with vegetation health, dictate the seasonal variation in GPP that underlies the carbon capture potential of the mangrove ecosystem.

3.3. Calculation of Solar-Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence

The satellite-retrieved SIF from LUE model simulations for 2019–2023 exhibits significant seasonal and interannual variability (Figure 6b). The SIF values display a clear seasonal trend, with higher values from May to October (Figure 7). Lower values during the winter and early spring months reflect reduced photosynthetic activity due to diminished leaf area and suboptimal climatic conditions. These temporal dynamics present the strong coupling

between canopy structure, carbon uptake and photosynthetic efficiency, emphasizing the utility of SIF as a proxy for monitoring vegetation function and carbon assimilation across mangrove ecosystems.




Figure 7. Monthly time series of Solar Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence (SIF) ($\text{mW} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{nm}^{-1} \cdot \text{sr}^{-1}$) spanning from 2019 to 2023. The columns represent each month from January to December, while the rows correspond to the years from 2019 to 2023, arranged from top to bottom.

The highest monthly SIF was recorded in August 2020, reaching $1.28 \text{ mW} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{nm}^{-1} \cdot \text{sr}^{-1}$, followed by $1.16 \text{ mW} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{nm}^{-1} \cdot \text{sr}^{-1}$ in September of the same year. These peaks correspond to maximum canopy activity and favorable climatic conditions, reflecting enhanced photosynthetic efficiency during the late-summer months. In contrast, minimum levels were consistently observed in winter, with a pronounced decline in December 2023, when SIF dropped to $0.23 \text{ mW} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{nm}^{-1} \cdot \text{sr}^{-1}$, indicating reduced photosynthetic activity under cooler temperatures and limited light availability. At the annual scale, mean SIF was highest in 2020 ($0.94 \text{ mW} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{nm}^{-1} \cdot \text{sr}^{-1}$), suggesting optimal environmental conditions and canopy vigor. In contrast, the lowest mean was observed in 2023 ($0.66 \text{ mW} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{nm}^{-1} \cdot \text{sr}^{-1}$), reflecting potential stress factors such as climatic variability or canopy decline (Table 4). These seasonal and interannual variations highlight the sensitivity of SIF to both phenological dynamics and environmental drivers, reinforcing its utility as a diagnostic indicator of ecosystem-level photosynthetic performance.

Table 4. Annual mean values of NDVI, LAI, GPP, and SIF from 2019 to 2023 for the Sundarbans Mangrove Forest.

Year	NDVI	LAI (m^2/m^2)	GPP ($\text{gCm}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$)	SIF ($\text{mWm}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ nm}^{-1}$)
2019	0.506	2.272	6.588	0.817
2020	0.548	2.587	7.841	0.939
2021	0.513	2.354	6.945	0.867
2022	0.459	2.119	5.154	0.582
2023	0.433	2.152	5.927	0.663

These changes depict the impact of climatic and meteorological conditions on photosynthesis and vegetation health. The seasonal SIF patterns closely follow the dynamics of GPP and LAI. The annual records from 2019 to 2023 indicate that variations in NDVI, LAI, GPP, and SIF are closely linked, collectively reflecting the changing photosynthetic potential in the Sundarbans. For example, favorable growth conditions in 2020 were marked by peak values across all indices: NDVI (0.548), LAI ($2.587 \text{ m}^2/\text{m}^2$), GPP ($7.84 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$), and SIF ($0.939 \text{ mW} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{nm}^{-1} \cdot \text{sr}^{-1}$). In contrast, a decline in vegetation health was noted in 2022, with reduced NDVI (0.459), LAI ($2.12 \text{ m}^2 \cdot \text{m}^{-2}$), GPP ($5.15 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ d}^{-1}$), and SIF ($0.582 \text{ mW} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{nm}^{-1} \cdot \text{sr}^{-1}$), followed by a partial recovery in 2023. The strong correspondence of SIF with GPP and LAI confirms that chlorophyll fluorescence is a reliable indicator of photosynthetic efficiency in this ecosystem. The accuracy of the Sentinel-2-based modeled SIF was validated against the TROPOMI-observed SIF product, showing a strong and significant relationship ($R^2 = 0.88$, $p < 0.001$) (Figure 8).

While TROPOMI-SIF offers a spatial resolution of 7 km suitable for large-scale monitoring, the modeled SIF operates at a finer 10 m resolution, enabling more localized observations. The consistency between these datasets emphasizes the potential of satellite-derived SIF for monitoring mangrove ecosystems, while demonstrating the model's ability to provide more granular insights into photosynthetic dynamics. The simultaneous analysis of high-resolution SIF with GPP and LAI thus permits a fine-scale description of carbon dynamics within the Sundarbans mangrove environment.




Figure 8. Relationship between modeled and observed values of (a) SIF, (b) GPP, and (c) LAI. The figure illustrates the agreement between model simulations and observations, showing the model's accuracy in measured chlorophyll fluorescence, photosynthetic activity, and mangrove leaf area dynamics. The color gradient from cold blue to warm red indicates the density of individual data points, ranging from 2 to 10.

4. Discussion

4.1. Dynamics of Vegetation Index, Canopy Structure and Mangrove Leaf Area

LAI serves as a critical indicator of canopy structure and ecosystem function because it directly regulates light interception, photosynthetic activity, and carbon uptake [50]. In mangrove ecosystems such as the Sundarbans, monitoring LAI alongside vegetation indices such as the NDVI provides essential indicators into the spatiotemporal dynamics of productivity and resilience [6]. Declines in LAI or NDVI reflect reductions in canopy density and photosynthetic capacity, which in turn constrain GPP and long-term carbon sequestration. Seasonal peaks in LAI correspond to periods of enhanced canopy expansion and maximum carbon assimilation, while troughs correspond to periods of reduced leaf area and diminished photosynthetic efficiency [67–69]. Thus, LAI is not only a structural measure but also a functional proxy for ecosystem-level forest biomass, making its assessment vital for understanding mangrove health under climatic and anthropogenic pressures [25,50].

Mangrove ecosystems, exemplified by the Sundarbans, are critical for biodiversity conservation, coastal protection, and carbon sequestration. The present study elucidates the spatiotemporal dynamics of mangrove vegetation using NDVI and LAI over 2019–2023. The observed declining trend in annual mean NDVI, together with pronounced seasonal variability, indicates a gradual reduction in vegetation health and productivity. Comparable patterns have been reported in other mangrove systems, where environmental stressors such as salinity intrusion, extreme weather events, and anthropogenic pressures drive vegetation degradation [1,70]. Seasonal NDVI peaks in August–September and troughs in December align with prior observations on the influence of climatic drivers on mangrove photosynthetic activity and canopy density [6,45].

It is noteworthy that several major cyclones affected the Sundarbans in 2022 and 2023 (e.g., Cyclone Sitrang in 2022, and Cyclones Mocha, Hamoon, and Midhili in 2023) [71], which likely contributed to temporary reductions in canopy density, LAI, and NDVI. These extreme weather events, combined with elevated temperatures and precipitation anomalies, provide a plausible explanation for the observed interannual declines in carbon sequestration and photosynthetic activity.

It is important to acknowledge that, even at 10 m spatial resolution, Sentinel-2–derived NDVI in tidally influenced mangrove systems may be affected by mixed water–soil–

vegetation pixels. Periodic tidal inundation and exposure of wet soils can induce short-term fluctuations in spectral reflectance that are not exclusively linked to changes in canopy condition. However, the persistence of consistent seasonal NDVI patterns across multiple years suggests that the dominant signal reflects true phenological dynamics rather than transient tidal artifacts.

Annual and seasonal LAI fluctuations provide complementary insights into growth cycles. Peak LAI values during July–September indicate maximal canopy expansion under favorable monsoon and temperature regimes. Conversely, reduced LAI in cooler and drier months (January–December minima) reflects diminished leaf area and canopy density, corroborating observations by Samanta et al. (2021) [72]. High-resolution LAI simulations (10 m) demonstrated a significant correlation with MODIS-derived LAI at coarser resolution (500 m; $R^2 = 0.67$, $p < 0.005$), emphasizing the value of fine-scale modeling for site-specific canopy assessment [12]. The strong correlation between NDVI and LAI ($R^2 = 0.93$, $p < 0.001$) further reinforces their complementary role in monitoring mangrove health [68].

The observed NDVI and LAI trends also highlight the vulnerability of mangroves to climate change and anthropogenic stressors. Declines in NDVI and seasonal variability in LAI may serve as early indicators of ecosystem stress, potentially driven by sea level rise, altered precipitation regimes, and increased frequency of extreme weather events [73]. Spatial heterogeneity in LAI revealed by PROSAIL-based mapping also reflects the interaction between canopy structure and tidal geomorphology, underscoring the need for localized conservation and adaptive management strategies. Integrating high-resolution remote sensing with hydrological modeling and soil carbon assessments in future research could refine our understanding of mangrove resilience under dynamic environmental pressures.

4.2. The LUE Simulated GPP for Carbon Sequestration

Estimating GPP in mangrove ecosystems requires modeling approaches that can resolve fine spatial heterogeneity and complex biophysical controls on photosynthesis [57]. The LUE framework provides a mechanistic, scalable technique by computing carbon adaptation as a function of incident radiation, canopy light absorption (fPAR), environmental stress regulation (e.g., LST), and maximum photosynthetic efficiency ($\epsilon_{\max}$) [25]. In this study, the LUE approach integrates high-resolution Sentinel-2–derived vegetation parameters with climate constraints to capture fine-scale variations in mangrove productivity [2,19,56]. Traditional MODIS-based GPP datasets (500 m) often smooth or underestimate productivity in narrow and fragmented mangrove belts, limiting their utility for regional carbon accounting [12]. By contrast, 10 m spatial resolution enables improved discrimination of canopy density, phenological dynamics, and edge effects, allowing more realistic representation of photosynthetic activity in the Sundarbans. Although tidal mixing may influence vegetation indices used as LUE inputs, the integration of multiple biophysical constraints and temporal aggregation reduces the sensitivity of GPP estimates to short-term tidal noise. Consequently, high-resolution LUE-based GPP modeling offers a critical advancement for assessing mangrove carbon sequestration, supporting more reliable estimates for ecosystem management, national greenhouse gas inventories, and climate mitigation planning [74,75].

The close alignment between observed and model-simulated GPP is fundamental for quantifying the Sundarbans' carbon sequestration potential, particularly in remote and heterogeneous ecosystems where direct measurements are limited [41]. Seasonal GPP patterns, with maxima during the growing season (May–September) and minima during the non-growing season (November–February), reflect the influence of climate and vegetation phenology, consistent with other mangrove studies [76].

High correlation between modeled and observed GPP ($R^2 = 0.86$, $p < 0.001$; Figure 8b) indicates the model's robustness in capturing carbon flux dynamics. Indices. Associations with vegetation indices reinforce this relationship: LAI and NDVI increases corresponded to indices. Associations with vegetation indices reinforce this relationship: increasing LAI and NDVI correspond with elevated GPP, highlighting the role of canopy structure and photosynthetic vigor in carbon assimilation [2,56]. Annual carbon sequestration ranged from 42.76 Mt CO₂ eq in 2022 to 65.06 Mt CO₂ eq in 2020, equating to 15–25% of Bangladesh's total CO₂ emissions over 2019–2023. Declines in 2022–2023 indicate that environmental stressors may limit carbon uptake, yet the Sundarbans remain a substantial carbon sink [65,66,77]. These findings underscore the essential role of mangroves in regional and global carbon budgets and highlight the importance of integrating GPP modeling with vegetation monitoring for climate mitigation planning.

4.3. Photosynthetic Activity and Carbon Sequestration in Mangrove Ecosystems

Satellite-derived and model-simulated SIF data provide high-resolution insights into photosynthetic activity and carbon sequestration. This study demonstrates the value of combining physiologically based indicators with high-resolution observations better to understand carbon dynamics in the coastal mangrove carbon balance. Mangrove forests, characterized by strong spatial heterogeneity and rapid responses to hydrological and climatic variability, require monitoring approaches capable of resolving both functional and structural ecosystem processes [78]. The adoption of a LUE-based SIF framework enables direct assessment of photosynthetic performance by linking absorbed radiation to carbon uptake, thereby providing insights that extend beyond conventional vegetation indices [79,80]. Importantly, SIF is less sensitive to background reflectance from water and soil than NDVI, making it particularly valuable in tidally dynamic mangrove environments where mixed pixels can affect reflectance-based indices. Integrating fine-scale modeled SIF with satellite observations across broader spatial domains establishes a scalable methodology that bridges local ecosystem processes with regional assessments of photosynthetic carbon.

Seasonal and interannual variations in SIF correspond closely with GPP and LAI trends, reflecting the responsiveness of mangrove photosynthesis to environmental conditions [13,37]. Peak SIF during the monsoon-aligned growth period underscores the direct coupling between canopy activity and carbon fixation. For example, the maximum SIF in 2020 ($0.94 \text{ mW} \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{nm}^{-1} \cdot \text{sr}^{-1}$) coincided with elevated NDVI, LAI, and GPP, demonstrating optimal photosynthetic performance. Conversely, the 2022 decline in SIF, GPP, and LAI reflects adverse environmental conditions limiting carbon assimilation [81]. Partial recovery in 2023 illustrates ecosystem resilience, though vulnerability to ongoing climatic and anthropogenic stress remains evident [56]. The strong correlation between modeled Sentinel-2 SIF and TROPOMI-observed SIF ($R^2 = 0.88$) confirms the reliability of remote sensing for ecosystem-scale monitoring. Fine-resolution modeling (10 m) allows detailed assessment of local canopy activity, whereas TROPOMI (7 km resolution) provides a broader regional context. The agreement between datasets supports integrated multi-scale approaches for monitoring photosynthesis and carbon flux, enabling informed management and conservation strategies [82]. Collectively, these results confirm that SIF, in conjunction with GPP and LAI, constitutes a robust framework for evaluating mangrove carbon sequestration potential and ecosystem function under changing environmental conditions.

4.4. Methodological Advances, Limitations, and Future Directions

A key contribution of this research is the application and validation of high-resolution models to derive functional ecosystem properties. The PROSAIL-based 10 m LAI simu-

lations showed a strong correlation with the coarser 500 m MODIS product ($R^2 = 0.67$), confirming the utility of downscaling for capturing fine-scale canopy heterogeneity essential for localized ecological studies [51]. Similarly, the high correlations between the 10 m modeled GPP and observed data ($R^2 = 0.86$) and the 10 m modeled SIF and 7 km TROPOMI SIF observations ($R^2 = 0.88$) validate our approach. This demonstrates a powerful methodology for generating reliable, high-resolution proxies of photosynthetic function in complex coastal landscapes where direct measurements are sparse [36,37,82,83].

Nonetheless, this study is subject to the inherent limitations of satellite remote sensing, particularly in tropical regions like the Sundarbans, which are frequently affected by cloud cover, atmospheric aerosols, and data gaps. These factors introduce uncertainty into remote sensing inputs and subsequent model outputs. While our models performed well, the accuracy of carbon flux estimations would be ideally improved by calibration with ground-based measurements from Eddy Covariance (EC) flux towers. However, the high expense and logistical challenges associated with establishing and maintaining EC systems in inaccessible mangrove environments, especially in developing nations like Bangladesh, remain significant barriers.

Future research should explicitly incorporate tidal stage information, water masks, or SAR-derived inundation products to better separate vegetated and non-vegetated surfaces and further minimize mixed-pixel effects. Integrating optical and radar observations, along with hydrological and soil carbon measurements, would enable a more comprehensive assessment of mangrove carbon dynamics and resilience under ongoing climatic and anthropogenic pressures.

5. Conclusions

This study successfully leveraged a multi-sensor remote sensing approach integrated with the PROSAIL and LUE models to provide a comprehensive assessment of carbon dynamics in the Sundarbans mangrove ecosystem from 2019 to 2023. By simulating high-resolution Leaf Area Index, Gross Primary Productivity, and Solar-Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence, we quantified the significant, yet highly variable, role of this ecosystem as a natural carbon sink. Our findings reveal a tightly coupled system where canopy structure and photosynthetic function fluctuate in synchrony, responding directly to seasonal and inter-annual environmental drivers. The research confirms that the Sundarbans sequester a substantial portion of Bangladesh's national CO₂ emissions, but this capacity is vulnerable, as evidenced by a sharp decline in 2022. This highlights the critical need for continuous monitoring over static assessments to manage this vital resource effectively. The successful validation of our high-resolution functional proxies against coarser satellite products represents a key methodological advance for monitoring complex and inaccessible ecosystems. Despite the inherent limitations of satellite data, this work underscores the indispensable role of remote sensing in advancing our understanding of critical ecosystems. Ultimately, effective conservation strategies, informed by robust scientific monitoring, are imperative to safeguard the Sundarbans' profound contribution to the regional and global carbon cycle in an era of accelerating climate change.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, N.H.; methodology, N.H.; formal analysis, N.H.; data curation, N.H.; validation, N.H., M.R.K. and M.N.I.; writing—original draft preparation, N.H., M.A.R. and M.R.K.; writing—review and editing, N.H., M.A.R., M.R.K., P.R., M.N.I. and A.M.; visualization, N.H.; supervision, M.N.I.; project administration, M.N.I.; funding acquisition, A.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The APC was funded by Anselme Muzirafuti and the University of Toronto.

Data Availability Statement: The datasets generated or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: We sincerely thank Md Saifuzzaman from the Department of Biology, McGill University, Canada, for his valuable insights on Sundarbans mangrove ecosystem dynamics in Bangladesh, and the Bangladesh Meteorological Department (BMD) for providing access to weather station data. We also acknowledge all data contributors whose support made this study possible. We also thank the School of Earth, Environment & Society at McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, for providing access to MATLAB (Version 24.2) and ArcGIS Pro (Version 3.2).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Alongi, D.M. Impacts of Climate Change on Blue Carbon Stocks and Fluxes in Mangrove Forests. *Forests* **2022**, *13*, 149. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
2. Choudhary, B.; Dhar, V.; Pawase, A.S. Blue Carbon and the Role of Mangroves in Carbon Sequestration: Its Mechanisms, Estimation, Human Impacts and Conservation Strategies for Economic Incentives. *J. Sea Res.* **2024**, *199*, 102504. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
3. Ati, R.N.A.; Khairunnisa, K.; Rahayu, Y.P.; Kusumaningtyas, M.A.; Rustam, A.; Suryono, D.D.; Salim, H.L.; Sudirman, N.; Daulat, A.; Purbani, D.; et al. Biomass Carbon Stocks in Conserved and Rehabilitated Mangroves of Indonesia: Case Studies from Biduk-Biduk, Karimunjawa, Pati and Indramayu. *BIO Web Conf.* **2024**, *134*, 02003. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
4. Donato, D.C.; Kauffman, J.B.; Murdiyarso, D.; Kurnianto, S.; Stidham, M.; Kanninen, M. Mangroves among the Most Carbon-Rich Forests in the Tropics. *Nat. Geosci.* **2011**, *4*, 293–297. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
5. Hoque, M.Z.; Cui, S.; Islam, I.; Xu, L.; Ding, S. Dynamics of Plantation Forest Development and Ecosystem Carbon Storage Change in Coastal Bangladesh. *Ecol. Indic.* **2021**, *130*, 107954. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
6. Hussain, N.; Islam, M.N. Hot Spot (Gi*) Model for Forest Vulnerability Assessment: A Remote Sensing-Based Geo-Statistical Investigation of the Sundarbans Mangrove Forest, Bangladesh. *Model. Earth Syst. Environ.* **2020**, *6*, 2141–2151. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
7. Andrieu, J.; Lombard, F.; Fall, A.; Thior, M.; Ba, B.D.; Dieme, B.E.A. Botanical Field-Study and Remote Sensing to Describe Mangrove Resilience in the Saloum Delta (Senegal) after 30 Years of Degradation Narrative. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2020**, *461*, 117963. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
8. Lassalle, G.; de Souza Filho, C.R. Tracking Canopy Gaps in Mangroves Remotely Using Deep Learning. *Remote Sens. Ecol. Conserv.* **2022**, *8*, 890–903. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
9. Walker, A.P.; De Kauwe, M.G.; Bastos, A.; Belmecheri, S.; Georgiou, K.; Keeling, R.F.; McMahon, S.M.; Medlyn, B.E.; Moore, D.J.P.; Norby, R.J.; et al. Integrating the Evidence for a Terrestrial Carbon Sink Caused by Increasing Atmospheric CO₂. *New Phytol.* **2021**, *229*, 2413–2445. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
10. Xue, J.; Su, B. Significant Remote Sensing Vegetation Indices: A Review of Developments and Applications. *J. Sens.* **2017**, *2017*, 1353691. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
11. Gao, S.; Yan, K.; Liu, J.; Pu, J.; Zou, D.; Qi, J.; Mu, X.; Yan, G. Assessment of Remote-Sensed Vegetation Indices for Estimating Forest Chlorophyll Concentration. *Ecol. Indic.* **2024**, *162*, 112001. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
12. Hussain, N.; Gonsamo, A.; Wang, S.; Arain, M.A. Assessment of Spongy Moth Infestation Impacts on Forest Productivity and Carbon Loss Using the Sentinel-2 Satellite Remote Sensing and Eddy Covariance Flux Data. *Ecol. Process* **2024**, *13*, 37. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
13. Zhu, X.; Hou, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Lu, X.; Liu, Z.; Weng, Q. Potential of Sun-Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence for Indicating Mangrove Canopy Photosynthesis. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* **2021**, *126*, e2020JG006159. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
14. Zhang, Z.; Xin, Q.; Li, W. Machine learning-based modeling of vegetation leaf area index and gross primary productivity across North America and comparison with a process-based model. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* **2021**, *13*, e2021MS002802. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
15. Dechant, B.; Ryu, Y.; Badgley, G.; Zeng, Y.; Berry, J.A.; Zhang, Y.; Goulas, Y.; Li, Z.; Zhang, Q.; Kang, M.; et al. Canopy Structure Explains the Relationship between Photosynthesis and Sun-Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence in Crops. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2020**, *241*, 111733. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
16. Yang, S.; Yang, J.; Shi, S.; Song, S.; Zhang, Y.; Luo, Y.; Du, L. An Exploration of Solar-Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence (SIF) Factors Simulated by SCOPE for Capturing GPP across Vegetation Types. *Ecol. Model.* **2022**, *472*, 110079. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
17. Tran, T.V.; Reef, R.; Zhu, X. A Review of Spectral Indices for Mangrove Remote Sensing. *Remote Sens.* **2022**, *14*, 4868. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
18. Castillo, J.A.A.; Apan, A.A.; Maraseni, T.N.; Salmo, S.G. Estimation and Mapping of Above-Ground Biomass of Mangrove Forests and Their Replacement Land Uses in the Philippines Using Sentinel Imagery. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.* **2017**, *134*, 70–85. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
19. Adame, M.F.; Cormier, N.; Taillardat, P.; Iram, N.; Rovai, A.; Sloey, T.M.; Yando, E.S.; Blanco-Libreros, J.F.; Arnaud, M.; Jennerjahn, T.; et al. Deconstructing the Mangrove Carbon Cycle: Gains, Transformation, and Losses. *Ecosphere* **2024**, *15*, e4806. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

20. Barr, J.G.; Engel, V.; Fuentes, J.D.; Fuller, D.O.; Kwon, H. Modeling Light Use Efficiency in a Subtropical Mangrove Forest Equipped with CO₂ Eddy Covariance. *Biogeosciences* **2013**, *10*, 2145–2158. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
21. Mashala, M.J.; Dube, T.; Mudereri, B.T.; Ayisi, K.K.; Ramudzuli, M.R. A Systematic Review on Advancements in Remote Sensing for Assessing and Monitoring Land Use and Land Cover Changes Impacts on Surface Water Resources in Semi-Arid Tropical Environments. *Remote Sens.* **2023**, *15*, 3926. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
22. Mumby, P.J.; Green, E.P.; Edwards, A.J.; Clark, C.D. The Cost-Effectiveness of Remote Sensing for Tropical Coastal Resources Assessment and Management. *J. Environ. Manag.* **1999**, *55*, 157–166. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
23. Wang, L.; Jia, M.; Yin, D.; Tian, J. A Review of Remote Sensing for Mangrove Forests: 1956–2018. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2019**, *231*, 111223. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
24. Ghorbanian, A.; Zaghian, S.; Asiyabi, R.M.; Amani, M.; Mohammadzadeh, A.; Jamali, S. Mangrove Ecosystem Mapping Using Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2 Satellite Images and Random Forest Algorithm in Google Earth Engine. *Remote Sens.* **2021**, *13*, 2565. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
25. Casal, G.; Trégarot, E.; Cornet, C.C.; McCarthy, T.; van der Geest, M. A Cost-Effective Method to Map Mangrove Forest Extent, Composition, and Condition in Small Islands Based on Sentinel-2 Data: Implications for Management. *Ecol. Indic.* **2024**, *159*, 111696. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
26. Hussain, N.; Arain, M.A.; Wang, S.; Parker, W.C.; Elliott, K.A. Evaluating the Effectiveness of Different Variable Retention Harvesting Treatments on Forest Carbon Uptake Using Remote Sensing. *Remote Sens. Appl.* **2024**, *33*, 101124. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
27. Moorthy, P. Photosynthetic Efficiency in Rhizophoracean Mangroves with Reference to Compartmentalization of Photosynthetic Pigments. *Rev. Biol. Trop.* **1969**, *47*, 21–25. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
28. Cobacho, S.P.; Janssen, S.A.R.; Brekelmans, M.A.C.P.; van de Leemput, I.A.; Holmgren, M.; Christianen, M.J.A. High Temperature and Eutrophication Alter Biomass Allocation of Black Mangrove (*Avicennia germinans* L.) Seedlings. *Mar. Environ. Res.* **2024**, *193*, 106291. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
29. Mandal, R.; Dutta, G. From Photosynthesis to Biosensing: Chlorophyll Proves to Be a Versatile Molecule. *Sens. Int.* **2020**, *1*, 100058. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
30. Bhatla, S.C.; Lal, M.A. *Plant Physiology, Development and Metabolism*; Springer Nature: Singapore, 2023; ISBN 978-981-99-5735-4.
31. Leister, D. Enhancing the Light Reactions of Photosynthesis: Strategies, Controversies, and Perspectives. *Mol. Plant* **2023**, *16*, 4–22. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
32. Garbulsky, M.F.; Peñuelas, J.; Gamon, J.; Inoue, Y.; Filella, I. The Photochemical Reflectance Index (PRI) and the Remote Sensing of Leaf, Canopy and Ecosystem Radiation Use Efficiencies: A Review and Meta-Analysis. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2011**, *115*, 281–297. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
33. Gamon, J.A.; Somers, B.; Malenovsky, Z.; Middleton, E.M.; Rascher, U.; Schaepman, M.E. Assessing Vegetation Function with Imaging Spectroscopy. *Surv. Geophys.* **2019**, *40*, 489–513. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
34. Mohammed, G.H.; Colombo, R.; Middleton, E.M.; Rascher, U.; van der Tol, C.; Nedbal, L.; Goulas, Y.; Pérez-Priego, O.; Damm, A.; Meroni, M.; et al. Remote Sensing of Solar-Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence (SIF) in Vegetation: 50 years of Progress. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2019**, *231*, 111177. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
35. Pettai, H.; Oja, V.; Freiberg, A.; Laisk, A. Photosynthetic Activity of Far-Red Light in Green Plants. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA Bioenerg.* **2005**, *1708*, 311–321. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
36. Sembada, A.A.; Faizal, A.; Sulistyawati, E. Photosynthesis Efficiency as Key Factor in Decision-Making for Forest Design and Redesign: A Systematic Literature Review. *Ecol. Front.* **2024**, *44*, 1128–1139. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
37. Sun, Z.; An, Y.; Kong, J.; Zhao, J.; Cui, W.; Nie, T.; Zhang, T.; Liu, W.; Wu, L. Exploring the Spatio-Temporal Patterns of Global Mangrove Gross Primary Production and Quantifying the Factors Affecting Its Estimation, 1996–2020. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2024**, *908*, 168262. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
38. Sun, Y.; Frankenberg, C.; Jung, M.; Joiner, J.; Guanter, L.; Köhler, P.; Magney, T. Overview of Solar-Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence (SIF) from the Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2: Retrieval, Cross-Mission Comparison, and Global Monitoring for GPP. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2018**, *209*, 808–823. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
39. Chan, K.L.; Valks, P.; Heue, K.-P.; Lutz, R.; Hedelt, P.; Loyola, D.; Pinardi, G.; Van Roozendaal, M.; Hendrick, F.; Wagner, T.; et al. Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment-2 (GOME-2) Daily and Monthly Level-3 Products of Atmospheric Trace Gas Columns. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* **2023**, *15*, 1831–1870. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
40. Bacour, C.; Maignan, F.; Peylin, P.; MacBean, N.; Bastrikov, V.; Joiner, J.; Köhler, P.; Guanter, L.; Frankenberg, C. Differences Between OCO-2 and GOME-2 SIF Products from a Model-Data Fusion Perspective. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci.* **2019**, *124*, 3143–3157. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
41. Ahmed, S.; Kamruzzaman, M.; Rahman, M.S.; Sakib, N.; Azad, M.S.; Dey, T. Stand Structure and Carbon Storage of a Young Mangrove Plantation Forest in Coastal Area of Bangladesh: The Promise of a Natural Solution. *Nat. Based Solut.* **2022**, *2*, 100025. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

42. Ali, Y.; Rahman, M.M. Quantifying Forest Stocking Changes in Sundarbans Mangrove Using Remote Sensing Data. *Sci. Remote Sens.* **2025**, *11*, 100181. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
43. Hussain, N.; Khan, E. Coastline Dynamics and Raising Landform: A Geo-Informatics Based Study on the Bay of Bengal, Bangladesh. *Indones. J. Geogr.* **2018**, *50*, 41–48. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
44. Hussain, N.; Khanam, R.; Khan, E. Two and Half Century's Changes of World Largest Mangrove Forest: A Geo-Informatics Based Study on Sundarbans Mangrove Forest, Bangladesh, India. *Mod. Environ. Sci. Eng.* **2017**, *03*, 419–423. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
45. Ellison, J.C. Mangrove Retreat with Rising Sea-Level, Bermuda. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* **1993**, *37*, 75–87. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
46. Giri, C.; Pengra, B.; Zhu, Z.; Singh, A.; Tieszen, L.L. Monitoring Mangrove Forest Dynamics of the Sundarbans in Bangladesh and India Using Multi-Temporal Satellite Data from 1973 to 2000. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* **2007**, *73*, 91–100. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
47. Brammer, H. Bangladesh's Dynamic Coastal Regions and Sea-Level Rise. *Clim. Risk Manag.* **2014**, *1*, 51–62. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
48. Islam, M.T. Vegetation Changes of Sundarbans Based on Landsat Imagery Analysis between 1975 and 2006. *Acta Geogr. Debrecina Landsc. Environ.* **2014**, *8*, 1–9.
49. Mukul, S.A.; Alamgir, M.; Sohel, M.S.I.; Pert, P.L.; Herbohn, J.; Turton, S.M.; Khan, M.S.I.; Munim, S.A.; Reza, A.H.M.A.; Laurance, W.F. Combined Effects of Climate Change and Sea-Level Rise Project Dramatic Habitat Loss of the Globally Endangered Bengal Tiger in the Bangladesh Sundarbans. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, *663*, 830–840. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
50. Jacquemoud, S.; Baret, F. PROSPECT: A Model of Leaf Optical Properties Spectra. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **1990**, *34*, 75–91. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
51. Saimun, M.S.R.; Karim, M.R. Climatic Drivers of Leaf Area Index Dynamics in the Amazon Basin: Insights from Remote Sensing. *Theor. Appl. Climatol.* **2025**, *156*, 448. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
52. Darvishzadeh, R.; Wang, T.; Skidmore, A.; Vrieling, A.; O'Connor, B.; Gara, T.; Ens, B.; Paganini, M. Analysis of Sentinel-2 and Rapid Eye for Retrieval of Leaf Area Index in a Saltmarsh Using a Radiative Transfer Model. *Remote Sens.* **2019**, *11*, 671. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
53. Liu, T.; Duan, S.-B.; Liu, N.; Wei, B.; Yang, J.; Chen, J.; Zhang, L. Estimation of Crop Leaf Area Index Based on Sentinel-2 Images and PROSAIL-Transformer Coupling Model. *Comput. Electron. Agric.* **2024**, *227*, 109663. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
54. Feret, J.-B.; François, C.; Asner, G.P.; Gitelson, A.A.; Martin, R.E.; Bidet, L.P.R.; Ustin, S.L.; le Maire, G.; Jacquemoud, S. PROSPECT-4 and 5: Advances in the Leaf Optical Properties Model Separating Photosynthetic Pigments. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2008**, *112*, 3030–3043. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
55. Verhoef, W. Light Scattering by Leaf Layers with Application to Canopy Reflectance Modeling: The SAIL Model. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **1984**, *16*, 125–141. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
56. Zheng, Y.; Takeuchi, W. Estimating Mangrove Forest Gross Primary Production by Quantifying Environmental Stressors in the Coastal Area. *Sci. Rep.* **2022**, *12*, 2238. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
57. Pei, Y.; Dong, J.; Zhang, Y.; Yuan, W.; Doughty, R.; Yang, J.; Zhou, D.; Zhang, L.; Xiao, X. Evolution of Light Use Efficiency Models: Improvement, Uncertainties, and Implications. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **2022**, *317*, 108905. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
58. Zhang, Y.; Xiao, X.; Wu, X.; Zhou, S.; Zhang, G.; Qin, Y.; Dong, J. A Global Moderate Resolution Dataset of Gross Primary Production of Vegetation for 2000–2016. *Sci. Data* **2017**, *4*, 170165. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
59. Köhler, P.; Frankenberg, C.; Magney, T.S.; Guanter, L.; Joiner, J.; Landgraf, J. Global Retrievals of Solar-Induced Chlorophyll Fluorescence with TROPOMI: First Results and Intersensor Comparison to OCO-2. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **2018**, *45*, 10456–10463. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
60. Guanter, L.; Aben, I.; Tol, P.; Krijger, J.M.; Hollstein, A.; Köhler, P.; Damm, A.; Joiner, J.; Frankenberg, C.; Landgraf, J. Potential of the TROPOspheric Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI) Onboard the Sentinel-5 Precursor for the Monitoring of Terrestrial Chlorophyll Fluorescence. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **2015**, *8*, 1337–1352. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
61. Joiner, J.; Guanter, L.; Lindstrot, R.; Voigt, M.; Vasilkov, A.P.; Middleton, E.M.; Huemmrich, K.F.; Yoshida, Y.; Frankenberg, C. Global Monitoring of Terrestrial Chlorophyll Fluorescence from Moderate-Spectral-Resolution near-Infrared Satellite Measurements: Methodology, Simulations, and Application to GOME-2. *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* **2013**, *6*, 2803–2823. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
62. Guanter, L.; Zhang, Y.; Jung, M.; Joiner, J.; Voigt, M.; Berry, J.A.; Frankenberg, C.; Huete, A.R.; Zarco-Tejada, P.; Lee, J.-E.; et al. Global and Time-Resolved Monitoring of Crop Photosynthesis with Chlorophyll Fluorescence. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2014**, *111*, E1327–E1333. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
63. Frankenberg, C.; O'Dell, C.; Berry, J.; Guanter, L.; Joiner, J.; Köhler, P.; Pollock, R.; Taylor, T.E. Prospects for Chlorophyll Fluorescence Remote Sensing from the Orbiting Carbon Observatory-2. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2014**, *147*, 1–12. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
64. Wang, C.; Guan, K.; Peng, B.; Chen, M.; Jiang, C.; Zeng, Y.; Wu, G.; Wang, S.; Wu, J.; Yang, X.; et al. Satellite Footprint Data from OCO-2 and TROPOMI Reveal Significant Spatio-Temporal and Inter-Vegetation Type Variabilities of Solar-Induced Fluorescence Yield in the U.S. Midwest. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2020**, *241*, 111728. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
65. DoE (Department of Environment). *First Biennial Update Report of Bangladesh to the UNFCCC*; Ministry of Environment, Forest & Climate Change Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh: Dhaka, Bangladesh, 2023.
66. Crippa, M.; Guizzardi, D.; Pagani, F.; Banja, M.; Muntean, M.; Schaaf, E.; Monforti-Ferrario, F.; Becker, W.; Quadrelli, R.; Riskez Martin, A.; et al. *GHG Emissions of All World Countries*; Publications Office of the European Union: Luxembourg, 2024. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

67. Wilson, K.B.; Baldocchi, D.D.; Hanson, P.J. Leaf Age Affects the Seasonal Pattern of Photosynthetic Capacity and Net Ecosystem Exchange of Carbon in a Deciduous Forest. *Plant Cell Environ.* **2001**, *24*, 571–583. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
68. Wilson, T.B.; Meyers, T.P. Determining Vegetation Indices from Solar and Photosynthetically Active Radiation Fluxes. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* **2007**, *144*, 160–179. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
69. Baptist, F.; Choler, P. A Simulation of the Importance of Length of Growing Season and Canopy Functional Properties on the Seasonal Gross Primary Production of Temperate Alpine Meadows. *Ann. Bot.* **2008**, *101*, 549–559. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
70. Dutta, S.; Das, S.; Gorain, S.; Kundu, S.; Bera, M.; Roy Choudhury, M.; Bag, S.; Das, D.P. Unraveling Anthropogenic and Climate Stressors in the Sundarbans and Their Ripple Effects on Livelihoods and Ecosystems, and Adaptation Strategies for a Sustainable Future—A Systematic Review. *Environ. Dev.* **2026**, *58*, 101410. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
71. Hoque, M.A.A.; Sardar, M.L.; Sami, M.S.; Roy, S.; Mukul, S.A.; Pradhan, B. Mapping tropical cyclone risks in coastal Bangladesh: An integrated geospatial approach. *Earth Syst. Environ.* **2025**, *9*, 1353–1370. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
72. Samanta, S.; Hazra, S.; Mondal, P.P.; Chanda, A.; Giri, S.; French, J.R.; Nicholls, R.J. Assessment and Attribution of Mangrove Forest Changes in the Indian Sundarbans from 2000 to 2020. *Remote Sens.* **2021**, *13*, 4957. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
73. Alatorre, L.C.; Sánchez-Carrillo, S.; Miramontes-Beltrán, S.; Medina, R.J.; Torres-Olave, M.E.; Bravo, L.C.; Wiebe, L.C.; Granados, A.; Adams, D.K.; Sánchez, E.; et al. Temporal Changes of NDVI for Qualitative Environmental Assessment of Mangroves: Shrimp Farming Impact on the Health Decline of the Arid Mangroves in the Gulf of California (1990–2010). *J. Arid. Environ.* **2016**, *125*, 98–109. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
74. Bajaj, M.; Sasaki, N.; Tsusaka, T.W.; Venkatappa, M.; Abe, I.; Shrestha, R.P. Assessing Changes in Mangrove Forest Cover and Carbon Stocks in the Lower Mekong Region Using Google Earth Engine. *Innov. Green Dev.* **2024**, *3*, 100140. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
75. Hussain, N.; Saifuzzaman, M.; Islam, D.; Ahmed, S.M.S.; Ahamed, M.S.; Shamsuddin, D. Spatiotemporal dynamics of carbon, water, and energy balance in Bangladesh using multi-source remote sensing and climate data. *Remote Sens. Appl. Soc. Environ.* **2026**, *41*, 101847. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
76. Gnanamoorthy, P.; Selvam, V.; Deb Burman, P.K.; Chakraborty, S.; Karipot, A.; Nagarajan, R.; Ramasubramanian, R.; Song, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Grace, J. Seasonal Variations of Net Ecosystem (CO₂) Exchange in the Indian Tropical Mangrove Forest of Pichavaram. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* **2020**, *243*, 106828. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
77. Arfanuzzaman, M. Bangladesh's Pathways to Climate-Resilient Development: A Methodical Review. *World Dev. Sustain.* **2024**, *4*, 100144. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
78. Mai, X.; Li, Q.; Xu, W.; Deng, S.; Wang, W.; Wu, W.; Zhang, W.; Wang, Y. Estimation of Mangrove Aboveground Carbon Using Integrated UAV-LiDAR and Satellite Data. *Sustainability* **2025**, *17*, 8211. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
79. Jiang, M.; Liu, L.; Liu, X.; Zou, C. Stronger Response of Vegetation Photosynthesis to Climate Change than Greenness in Pan-Arctic Region: First Evidence from SIF Satellite Observations. *Ecol. Inform.* **2025**, *90*, 103312. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
80. Fang, J.; Lian, X.; Ryu, Y.; Jeong, S.; Jiang, C.; Gentile, P. A Long-Term Reconstruction of a Global Photosynthesis Proxy over 1982–2023. *Sci. Data* **2025**, *12*, 372. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
81. Qian, L.; Yu, X.; Zhang, Z.; Wu, L.; Fan, J.; Xiang, Y.; Chen, J.; Liu, X. Assessing and Improving the High Uncertainty of Global Gross Primary Productivity Products Based on Deep Learning under Extreme Climatic Conditions. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2024**, *957*, 177344. [\[CrossRef\]](#) [\[PubMed\]](#)
82. Lees, K.J.; Quaife, T.; Artz, R.R.E.; Khomik, M.; Clark, J.M. Potential for Using Remote Sensing to Estimate Carbon Fluxes across Northern Peatlands—A Review. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2018**, *615*, 857–874. [\[CrossRef\]](#)
83. Tang, Y.; Li, T.; Yang, X.; Chao, Q.; Wang, C.; Lai, D.Y.F.; Liu, J.; Zhu, X.; Zhao, X.; Fan, X.; et al. Mango-GPP: A Process-Based Model for Simulating Gross Primary Productivity of Mangrove Ecosystems. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.* **2023**, *15*, e2023MS003714. [\[CrossRef\]](#)

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.